

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/659,318	THAI, THANH	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sherman D. Basinger	3617	
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____		
(1) <u>Sherman D. Basinger</u> .	(3) _____.		
(2) <u>Mr. McGlynn</u> :	(4) _____.		
Date of Interview: <u>20 October 2004</u>	Time: <u>11:00a.m.</u>		
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
<i>Volf, jr. in view of Neill with respect to claim 12 as originally filed and set forth in the first office action</i>			
Claims discussed:			
22			
Prior art documents discussed:			
<i>Volf, jr. and Neill</i>			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>discussed changes to claim 22 to make it allowable</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
 SHERMAN BASINGER PRIMARY EXAMINER			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	